



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:	§	Attorney Docket No. 108751.0000.002
	§	
Harvey Stanley Benson	§	
	§	Group Art Unit: 3732
Serial No. 10/642,956	§	
	§	
Filed: August 18, 2003	§	Examiner: Robyn Kieu Doan
	§	
For: METHOD OF APPLYING A	§	
COSMETIC PRODUCT	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	

Mail Stop Response/FEE  
Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION DATED NOVEMBER 24, 2004**

Dear Examiner Doan,

Applicant hereby respectfully requests consideration of the appended remarks and provides a Terminal Disclaimer signed by Applicant's attorney of record.

**REMARKS**

The Examiner rejected claims 1-11 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,654,981 in view of Bouix et al (6,732,743).

In response to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection, applicant has attached a